

Multiplicative Linear Logic

Olivier Laurent
LIP, CNRS - ENS Lyon, France

LL2016, Lyon
school: 7 and 8 November 2016

Exercise 1 (Starter)

1. Compute $((X^\perp \otimes Y) \wp (Y \wp X))^\perp$.
2. Give a proof structure with conclusion $(X \otimes (Y \otimes Y^\perp)) \wp X^\perp$.
3. Is it a proof net?
4. Show that $(A^\perp \wp B) \otimes (B^\perp \wp C) \vdash A^\perp \wp C$ is provable.

Exercise 2 (Beffara's formulas) For each of the following three equivalences, give the two associated proof nets and two corresponding sequent calculus proofs:

- i. $A \dashv\vdash A \otimes (A^\perp \wp A)$
- ii. $A \otimes (A^\perp \wp A) \dashv\vdash (A \otimes A^\perp) \wp A$
- iii. $A \wp A^\perp \dashv\vdash (A \wp A^\perp) \otimes (A \wp A^\perp)$

Find A , B and C such that $(A \otimes B) \wp C \vdash A \otimes (B \wp C)$ is not provable.

Exercise 3 (Around Provability)

1. Show that $\vdash A \otimes B$ is provable if and only if both $\vdash A$ and $\vdash B$ are provable.
2. Prove $A \multimap B \dashv\vdash B^\perp \multimap A^\perp$
3. Prove $(A \otimes B) \multimap C \dashv\vdash A \multimap (B \multimap C)$
4. Prove: $A \dashv\vdash B$ if and only if $\vdash (A^\perp \wp B) \otimes (A \wp B^\perp)$ is provable.
5. Describe how to transform any axiom rule $\frac{}{\vdash A^\perp, A} \text{ax}$ into a proof of $\vdash A^\perp, A$ using only axiom rules on atoms: $\frac{}{\vdash X^\perp, X} \text{ax}$.

Exercise 4 (Proof Structures Manipulations)

1. Given a proof structure with a unique conclusion $A_1 \multimap B_1$ and a proof structure with a unique conclusion $A_2 \multimap B_2$, explain how to build a proof structure with a unique conclusion $(A_1 \otimes A_2) \multimap (B_1 \otimes B_2)$.
2. Given a proof net with a unique conclusion $A_1 \multimap B_1$ and a proof net with a unique conclusion $A_2 \multimap B_2$, explain how to build a proof net with a unique conclusion $(A_1 \otimes A_2) \multimap (B_1 \otimes B_2)$.

Exercise 5 (Deduction Property) We call deduction property the following statement:

$$A \vdash B \text{ is provable if and only if there exists a derivation } \begin{array}{c} \vdash A \\ \vdots \\ \vdash B \end{array} .$$

Discuss whether or not this property (or variants) holds in MLL.

Exercise 6 (Singleton Relational Model) We consider the particular case of the relational model where each atom X is interpreted as a singleton $\llbracket X \rrbracket = \{\bullet\}$.

1. Compute the relational semantics of all formulas.
2. Compute the relational semantics of all sequents.
3. What are the possible values for the relational semantics of a proof?

Exercise 7 (Semantics of Booleans) We fix an atom X and we want to represent Booleans as proofs of $\vdash X^\perp, X \otimes X, X^\perp$.

1. Give all possible cut-free proof structures with conclusions $X^\perp, X \otimes X, X^\perp$.
2. We choose $\llbracket X \rrbracket = \{a, b\}$. Compute the relational semantics of each proof structure.
3. Which of the above proof structures are proof nets?
4. We call $\overline{\text{TRUE}}$ (resp. $\overline{\text{FALSE}}$) the proof net whose semantics contains $(a, (a, b), b)$ (resp. $(a, (b, a), b)$). Identify these two proof nets.
5. Build a proof net with conclusions $X^\perp \wp X^\perp, X \otimes X$ whose semantics contains $((a, b), (b, a))$. We call it ρ .
6. The proof structure σ is obtained from $\overline{\text{TRUE}}$ and ρ by introducing a cut between their dual conclusions. Prove σ is a proof net.
7. Compute the relational semantics of σ .
8. Eliminate cuts in σ and compute the relational semantics of the result.
9. Which function on Booleans does ρ represent?
10. Which other functions could be represented in the same manner using proof nets with conclusions $X^\perp \wp X^\perp, X \otimes X$?